6.867 Machine learning and neural networks

Tommi Jaakkola MIT AI Lab

tommi@ai.mit.edu

Lecture 22: markov random fields

Topics

- Markov random fields
 - review: semantics, quantification
 - pattern completion example

Review: Markov random fields

- The (conditional) independence properties can read from the graph via simple graph separation:
 - x and y are conditionally independent given z if all paths between x and y go through z



 \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{y} are conditionally independent given \boldsymbol{z}

• This graph semantics captures the simple example



Review: Markov random fields

• A Markov chain:



$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{Z}}_{1} \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)}_{P(x_1, x_2)} \underbrace{\psi_{23}(x_2, x_3)}_{P(x_3 | x_2)}$$

• More generally:



$$P(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \psi_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \psi_{234}(x_2, x_3, x_4) \psi_{35}(x_3, x_5)$$

Image reconstruction example

- Modeling images with *Boltzmann machines*
- nearby pixels in images should be correlated



- we can capture such *nearest neighbor* dependences with the following lattice model



Example cont'd

• Each variable x_i in the model indicates whether a pixel is on $(x_i = 1)$ or off $(x_i = 0)$



• For any pair of pixels linked with an edge in the graph we have the following potential function

$$\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \exp(J_{ij} x_i x_j + h_i x_i + h_j x_j)$$

where J_{ij} defines the connection strength between pixels *i* and *j* (large values of J_{ij} imply highly correlated pixels) h_i permit us to bias individual pixel values towards on or off

Example cont'd



• The full joint distribution over the pixels in the image is given by

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_{64}|J, h) = \frac{1}{Z(J, h)} \prod_{\text{edges } ij} \exp(J_{ij} x_i x_j + h_i x_i + h_j x_j)$$
$$= \frac{1}{Z(J, h)} \exp\left(\sum_{\text{edges } ij} J_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_i \tilde{h}_i x_i\right)$$

(here, e.g., $\tilde{h}_i = 4h_i$ for pixels away from the borders)

Example cont'd



• The graph structure implies a number Markov properties such as

$$P(x_1|x_2,\ldots,x_{64},J,h) = P(x_1|x_2,x_9,J,h)$$

• The specific potential functions we have used also turn these conditional probabilities into logistic regression models (verify)

$$P(x_1 = 1 | x_2, x_9, J, h) = g(J_{12}x_2 + J_{19}x_9 + \tilde{h}_1)$$

where $g(z) = (1 + e^{-z})^{-1}$ is the logistic function.

The reconstruction problem



- Part of the image may be corrupted or missing (pixel values are unknown)
- We can use the probability model to infer what the missing pixels are (complete the image)

Inference problem: evaluate the marginal posterior probabilities for the missing pixels

Learning problem: adjust the connection strengths for better reconstruction

Second inference problem



- Assuming half the image is missing, we have 2³² possible configurations of the missing pixels
- We could apply the sampling method we already know about (importance sampling + likelihood weighting) to evaluate the posterior probabilies as in the context of medical diagnosis
- For Boltzmann machines there's a more natural sampling approach: Gibbs' sampling

Gibbs' sampling

• Given a set of known pixel values $x^* = \{x_i^*\}$, we wish to evaluate the posterior probabilities $P(x_i | \mathbf{x}^*, J, h)$ for all the missing pixels



- We can do this via Gibbs' sampling:
 - 1. Initialize all the missing pixels (e.g., set $x_i = 0$)
 - 2. Sequentially sample a new value for each missing pixel x_i based on the current setting of its *neighbors* (*nb*)

$$x_i \sim P(x_i | x_{nb_i}, J, h)$$

In our case, these conditional probabilities are logistic regression models

$$P(x_i = 1 | x_{nb_i}, J, h) = g\left(\sum_{j \in nb_i} J_{ij}x_j + \tilde{h}_i\right)$$

Gibbs' sampling cont'd

• Gibbs' sampling method generates a sequence of new pixel values

$$x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^t, x_i^{t+1}, \dots$$

where x_i^t is the sampled value for pixel *i* at the t^{th} iteration of the sampler (we assume that one iteration means generating a new value for each missing pixel)

• The posterior mean (expected value) of pixel *i* can be evaluated approximately from these samples

$$E\{x_i | x^*, J, h\} \approx \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_i^t$$

Learning problem

- How do we set the connection strengths J_{ij} in the Boltzmann machine to improve reconstruction?
- Given a training set of images $D = {x_1, ..., x_n}$ we can set J_{ij} via maximum likelihood estimation



The connection strengths can be updated via stochastic gradient ascent

$$J_{ij} \leftarrow J_{ij} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{ij}} \log P(\mathbf{x}_t | J, h)$$

so long as we can evaluate the gradients for any particular training image \mathbf{x}_t (vector of known pixel values)

Learning problem cont'd

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial J_{ij}} \log P(\mathbf{x}_t | J, h) = \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{ij}} \left[\sum_{\substack{\text{edges } ij}} J_{ij} x_i^t x_j^t + \sum_i \tilde{h}_i x_i^t - \log Z(J, h) \right]$$
$$= x_i^t x_j^t - \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{ij}} \log Z(J, h)$$
$$\dots$$
$$= x_i^t x_j^t - E\{x_i x_j | J, h\}$$

where $E\{x_ix_j | J, h\}$ is the expected co-occurence of the pixel values based on our current model (setting of J and h).

• We can evaluate $E\{x_ix_j | J, h\}$ via Gibbs' sampling