

1 Q1

1.1 1 (7 points)

+7 if numbers are right

1.2 2 (23 points)

+7 plot looks correct (circles tend towards the boundary)

+5 say that the error is a random variable

+6 errors of AC are reasonable, and train $\hat{\mu}$ test

+6 mention that AC points tend to be chosen around boundary

+3 mention that low-density points help little (and/or that reducing the grid bounds would help)

+3 mention other thoughts that appear in the solutions

2 Q2

2.1 1 (25 points)

+7 use the hint i.e. write

$$E_{p(x,y)} [L(y, \hat{y}(x))] = \dots = \int_x p(x) \sum_y (1 - \delta_{y, \hat{y}(x)}) p(y|x) dx \quad (1)$$

(+ 3 if start right but then get confused)

+8 show (convincingly) it is enough to minimize cost for every x

+4 only say it is enough to minimize for every x (not convincing they understand why it's enough)

+10 derive thre rule from (1)

2.2 2 (10 points)

+6 correct rule (in general form)

+4 explain how to derive

+2 show simplification for binary case

do not deduct points fro same mistakes as in 1!

3 Q3

3.1 1 (10 points)

- +2 right numbers
- +2 right plots
- +4 say that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ boundar approaches the optimal boundary
- +2 only say that boundary becomes linear (without saying that optimal boundary is linear)
- +2 explain it is difficult to estimate Gaussians with few points
- 2 say the boundary for **XYlarge** is linear

3.2 2 (25 points)

- +2 right numbers
- +2 right plots
- +3 say that the two models have similar generalization error when trained on **XYlarge**.
- +5 explain disatvantage of generative models with few points (diifcult to estimate Gaussians)
- +15 explain that boundaries approach each other, and the optimal boundary (only +7 if claims are correct but not explained)
- +7 only say boundaries both approach a linear boundary (but do not say that's the optimal boundary)
- 5 say one of the methods (or both) can not produce linear boundary (or have different number of degrees of freedom)
- 3 say log. reg. is much worse because the boundary looks worse