6.825 Techniques in Artificial Intelligence

Decision Making under Uncertainty

- How to make one decision in the face of uncertainty
- In a deterministic problem, making one decision is easy
- Planning is hard because we considered long sequences of actions
- Given uncertainty, even making one decision is difficult

A short survey

1. Which alternative would you prefer:
   A. A sure gain of $240
   B. A 25% chance of winning $1000 and a 75% chance of winning nothing

2. Which alternative would you prefer:
   C. A sure loss of $750
   D. A 75% chance of losing $1000 and a 25% chance of losing nothing

3. How much would you pay to play the following game:
   We flip a coin. If it comes up heads, I'll pay you $2. If it comes up tails, we'll flip again, and if it comes up heads, I'll pay you $4. And so on, out to infinity.

Decision Theory

- A calculus for decision-making under uncertainty
- Set of primitive outcomes
- Preferences on primitive outcomes: A > B
- Subjective degrees of belief (probabilities)
- Lotteries: uncertain outcomes

Axioms of Decision Theory

If you accept these conditions on your preferences, then decision theory should apply to you!

- Orderability: A > B or B > A or A ⊥ B
- Transitivity: If A > B and B > C then A > C
- Continuity: If A > B > C then there exists p such that L₁ ⊥ L₂

More Axioms of Decision Theory

- Substitutability: If A > B , then L₁ > L₂
- Monotonicity: If A > B and p > q, then L₁ > L₂

Last Axiom of Decision Theory

- Decomposability: L₁ ⊥ L₂
Main Theorem

If preferences satisfy these six assumptions, then there exists $U$ (a real valued function) such that:

- If $A > B$, then $U(A) > U(B)$
- If $A \equiv B$, then $U(A) = U(B)$

Utility of a lottery = expected utility of the outcomes

$$U(L) = p \cdot U(A) + (1-p) \cdot U(B)$$

Survey Question 1

Which alternative would you prefer:

A. A sure gain of $240
B. A 25% chance of winning $1000 and a 75% chance of winning nothing

85% prefer option A to option B

- $U(B) = .25 U($1000) + .75 U($0)
- $U(A) = U($240)
- $U(A) > U(B)$

Utility of Money

- $U(B) = .25 U($1000) + .75 U($0)
- $U(A) = U($240)
- $U(A) > U(B)$

Risk neutrality

- $U(B) = .25 U($1000) + .75 U($0) = U($250)
- $U(A) = U($240)
- $U(A) < U(B)$

Why Play the Lottery?

Consider a lottery ticket:

- Expected payoff always less than price
- Is it ever consistent with utility theory to buy one?

It's kind of like preferring lottery B to A, below:

A. A sure gain of $260
B. A 25% chance of winning $1000 and a 75% chance of winning nothing

In utility terms:

- $U(B) = .25 U($1000) + .75 U($0)
- $U(A) = U($260)
- $U(A) < U(B)$

Risk seeking

- $U(B) = .25 U($1000) + .75 U($0)
- $U(A) = U($260)
- $U(A) < U(B)$
Survey Question 2
Which alternative would you prefer:
- C. A sure loss of $750
- D. A 75% chance of losing $1000 and a 25% chance of losing nothing

91% prefer option D to option C

- \[ U(D) = 0.75 U(-1000) + 0.25 U(0) \]
- \[ U(C) = U(-750) \]
- \[ U(D) > U(C) \]

Risk seeking in losses
- Convex utility function risk seeking

Human irrationality
Most people prefer A in question 1 and D in question 2.

St. Petersburg Paradox
- Expected value = 1 + 1 + 1 + ... = 1

Buying a Used Car
- Costs $1000
- Can sell it for $1100, $100 profit
- Every car is a lemon or a peach
- 20% are lemons
- Costs $40 to repair a peach, $200 to repair a lemon
- Risk neutral
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- Can sell it for $1100, $100 profit
- Every car is a lemon or a peach
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- Risk neutral

Expected Value of Perfect Info

How much should you pay for information of what type of car it is (before you buy it)?

- Reverse the order of the chance and action nodes. The chance node represents uncertainty on what the information will be, once we get it.
- C is cost you have to pay for information

Expected Value of Perfect Info

How much should you pay for information of what type of car it is (before you take it to be repaired)?

- Reverse the order of the chance and action nodes. The chance node represents uncertainty on what the information will be, once we get it.
- C is cost you have to pay for information

Guarantee?

- Costs $60
- Covers 50% of repair costs
- If repairs > $100, covers them all
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Choice (max) $28

Chance (expected value) $28
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Decision tree

C = $20 [EVPI]
ties the expected value with no information
Guarantee?
- Costs $60
- Covers 50% of repair costs
- If repairs > $100, covers them all

Most people would buy the guarantee in this situation, due to risk aversion.

Inspection?
We can have the car inspected for $9

P("pass" | peach) = 0.99*"fail" | peach) = 0.1
P("pass" | lemon) = 0.4  P("fail" | lemon) = 0.6

P("pass") =
P("pass" | lemon)P(lemon) + P("pass" | peach)P(peach)
P("pass") = 0.4*0.2 + 0.9*0.8 = 0.8
P("fail") = 0.2

P(lemon | "pass") = P("pass" | lemon)P(lemon)/P("pass")
P(lemon | "pass") = 0.4 * (0.2 / 0.8) = 0.1
P(lemon | "fail") = P("fail" | lemon)P(lemon)/P("fail")
P(lemon | "fail") = 0.6 * (0.2 / 0.2) = 0.6

Inspection Tree

Inspection Tree

Inspection Tree

Inspection Tree
Recitation Problem

Let’s consider one last scenario in the purchase of used cars. We are going to have the car inspected, and then use the result of the inspection to decide if we will:

- buy the car without a guarantee
- buy the car with a guarantee
- not buy the car

Calculate the decision tree for this scenario. Use all the costs and probabilities from the previous scenarios. What is the expected value? Is it better than just buying the car ($28)?

Another Recitation Problem

Is it ever useful (in the sense of resulting in higher utility) to pay for information, but take the same action no matter what information you get?