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1 Introduction

In the current nonlinear model of CV phonology (Clements & Keyser 1983), phonological representations are organised into several levels or tiers, of which the following three are the most significant: the central CV tier (skeleton), whose units, C and V, are autosegmentally associated with the units of the syllable tier on one end and with the units of the segmental tier on the other. The segmental tier itself is organised into sub-tiers of distinctive features; the internal structure of the syllable tier is subject to considerable debate in the literature. In addition, CV-level units may be linked to melodies on separate autosegmental tiers in the case of suprasegmental phenomena like tone and vowel harmony.

Within the CV phonology framework long segments are represented in terms of two timing units, or slots, on the CV tier. Normally, long vowels are represented as VV, geminate consonants as CC; VC long vowels have been suggested for Turkish and Klamath by Clements & Keyser (1983) and for Hungarian by Vago (to appear), VC geminate consonants for Luganda by Clements (to appear). The two CV-level units are tied either to one segment-level unit or to two identical segment-level units. I will call these configurations respectively the many-to-one and the one-to-one representation of length. The two ways to represent long a, for instance, are schematised in (1):

(1) a. many-to-one
   \[ V \backslash V \]

     a

   b. one-to-one
   \[ V \quad V \]

     a

In the great majority of cases long segments are represented in terms of the many-to-one relation. The one-to-one representation seems to be required in certain cases, however: for some long vowels in Cuna (Sherzer 1970), Gokana (Hyman 1982), and Latin (Steriade & Schein 1984), and
for some geminate consonants in Tigrinya (Schein 1981; Kenstowicz 1982), and Malayalam (Mohanan & Mohanan 1984), to mention just a few.

In this article I aim to bring forth external evidence derived from word games (secret languages) in support of the many-to-one representation of long vowels within the nonlinear CV phonology framework. In §§2–4 I describe the patterning of long vowels in word games played in three languages: Finnish, Estonian and Bakwiri. In each section I dismiss the one-to-one representation of long vowels, as well as the analysis within the linear or standard generative model (Chomsky & Halle 1968). In the case of the Finnish and Estonian word games, I also discuss implications for the representation of diphthongs in these languages. In §5 I bring additional word games into consideration, and conclude that the fact that word games can apply on different levels validates the multi-tiered structure of phonological representations. Throughout the paper, the symbols C and V refer to CV-level units, while the terms CONSONANT and VOWEL refer to segment-level units.

2 Finnish

Several varieties of word games are known to exist in the Finnish language (Ojansuu 1916; Seppänen 1982). In one of the games played primarily by children, called kottki kielu or kontinkielu (kottti ‘language’), as described in detail by Campbell (1980, 1981), a word is transformed into a four-word phrase: the word konatti is placed after a word, and then the entire expression is subjected to an exchange operation according to which the initial C0V sequences of each word are interchanged. Examples are provided in (2):

(2) a. asuu ‘he lives’ → asuu kottti kosuu antti
   b. nälkä ‘hunger’ → nälkä kottti kolka näntti

The effects of the exchange operation are apparent in the last two words within each expression; the first two words will henceforth be disregarded. In (2b) it is observed that one of the consequences of the exchange is that a front vowel in the non-initial syllable of the first word assimilates in backness to the back vowel of the preposed initial vowel of kottti. This change is due to the well-known vowel harmony process of Finnish. Kottti kielu has important implications for the description of vowel harmony, but since I deal with this issue in detail elsewhere (Vago 1984), in the present discussion I will ignore, as much as possible, the changes due to vowel harmony. Rather, I want to concentrate on the significance of the game for the representation of vocalic length. To this end, let us consider the forms in (3):

(3) a. riippua ‘to hang’ → kocppua rintti
   b. maahan ‘land’ (ill. sg.) → koohan mantti

We may note that if the initial vowel of the first word is long, then the preposed short vowel of the second word becomes long, and the postposed long vowel of the first excluded from the exchange.

In a linear theoretical reharmony, can be deser

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & V & X \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

\begin{array}{c}
(+long) \\
\end{array}

The above rule concerned length in case the in kottti in length in case the in kottti becomes long and th conditions have to be ex in a linear theory.

In contrast, CV pho facts, obviating ad hoc multi-levelled phonolo described as in (5):

(5) Kontti kielu
   a. Place kontti aft b. Interchange th

The theory specifies inc unit is moved, both aut unit is associated are t convention. Therefore, segmental vowel harmon C slot, if any, and V slave.

If we follow commo mentally associating a s CV tier, then the facts place. As shown in (6), word entails the mover

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & V & V \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

\begin{array}{c}
\quad \quad \quad m \quad a \quad han \\
\end{array}
long vowel of the first word becomes short. In other words, length is excluded from the exchange operation.

In a linear theoretical framework the facts of the word game, excluding reharmony, can be described by rule (4), as suggested by Campbell (1981):

\[(4) \quad C_0 \quad V \quad X \quad \langle +\text{long} \rangle \]

\[\quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \rightarrow \quad ko \quad 3 \quad \neq \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad ntti \quad \langle +\text{long} \rangle \quad \langle -\text{long} \rangle \]

The above rule contains two separate clauses concerning the realisation of length in case the initial vowel of the first word is long: the prepended \(ko\) becomes long and the postposed long vowel becomes short. These two conditions have to be expressed explicitly under any formulation of the rule in a linear theory.

In contrast, CV phonology offers a straightforward description of the facts, obviating ad hoc stipulations of length. Within the context of a multi-levelled phonological structure, the facts of \(kon\)ti \(ki\)li can be described as in (5):

\[(5) \quad Kon\)ti \(ki\)li\]

\[\quad a. \quad \text{Place } kon\)ti \text{ after a word}\]

\[\quad b. \quad \text{Interchange the initial } C_0 V \text{ slots of each word}\]

The theory specifies independently (see Vago 1984) that when a CV-level unit is moved, both autosegments and segments with which that CV-level unit is associated are moved as well. I assume the correctness of this convention. Therefore, rule (5) interchanges the units on both the auto-segmental vowel harmony tier and the segmental tier with which the initial \(C\) slot, if any, and \(V\) slot are associated.

If we follow common practice and represent long vowels by auto-segmentally associating a single segment-level unit with the slots \(VV\) on the CV tier, then the facts of vocalic length in \(kon\)ti \(ki\)li fall directly into place. As shown in (6), the movement of the initial CV slots of the first word entails the movement of the associated segment-level units:

\[(6) \quad C \quad V \quad V \quad C \quad V \quad m \quad a \quad han \quad kon\)ti \quad \rightarrow \quad ko \quad han \quad m\)ntti \quad \rightarrow \quad C \quad V \quad V \quad m\)ntti \quad \rightarrow \quad k\)o \quad han \quad m\)ntti\]
As a result of the interchange, the second V slot of the long vowel becomes unassociated. A general convention (cf. Clements & Keyser 1983) associates an empty V slot with a preceding vowel. A single segment-level vocalic unit which is associated with two CV-level (timing) units is interpreted phonetically as a long vowel.

The many-to-one representation of Finnish long vowels is the only one possible within the autosegmental account of length, assuming that (5) is a CV-level rule. The one-to-one representation fails:

(7) C V V

m a a h a n k o n t i i → *k o a h a n m a n t t i

At this point one might legitimately propose an alternative analysis in which the rule of k o n t t i k i e l i applies exclusively on the segment level. In this formulation, the initial consonant(s) and vowel are interchanged, but not the associated CV-level slots. The problem with this suggestion is that it does not account for the fact that k o n t t i k i e l i triggers reharmony in the first word; cf. (2b). As I show in Vago (1984), harmonic autosegments are mapped on to units on the CV tier. In this light, if the CV-level units are left intact in k o n t t i k i e l i, we do not expect any harmonic change in the words involved. But this, as we have seen, is contrary to the observed facts. We might get around this problem by stipulating that the movement of segment-level units entails the movement of all associated CV-level units. But then we do not expect any change in the length of the interchanged vowels. Again, this prediction is proven false by the facts. In brief, the correct generalisation seems to be that the rule of k o n t t i k i e l i moves CV-level units and all associated units on the autosegmental and segmental tiers.

The game of k o n t t i k i e l i can be brought to bear in an interesting way on the proper representation of diphthongs in Finnish. According to Campbell (1981), Finnish has three types of diphthongs: underlying diphthongs, diphthongs obtained through the loss of a medial h in the weak grade of consonant gradation, and diphthongs derived from long mid vowels. The first two categories of diphthongs, which I will call long, are analysed in the same way as two short vowels, that is, in terms of two vowels, where each vowel is tied to its own V slot (one-to-one association). This analysis receives support in k o n t t i k i e l i. As shown in the forms in (8) and (9), only the first vocoid of these diphthongs is interchanged:

(8) a. V V C V

a u k i 'open' → k o u k i a n t t i

b. C V V C C V

v e i t s i 'knife' → k o i t s i v e n t t i

(9) a. C V

h i k e + n'

b. C V

p i k e + n'

On the other hand, the diphthongisation rule, that diphthongs, such as which are in free variation

(10) a. /v o o r i/ 'mount b. /t e e/ 'road' →

In one variant the pre the diphthong are transi diphthong is exchanged, free variation, we make

cuts the autosegmental s slot and associates the l component is a raised c

(11) Diphthongisation

V

− h i g h − l o w [ + h − l a r]

The resultant: diphthong the CV-level slot (one-to-one represent unstressed (i.e.) way.

Additionally, the rule to the effect that the di associated with a comple

(12) K o n t t i k i e l i

c. Branching V n

The second alternate hence the first V of the initial C of course, can
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(9) a. C V
    h i k e + n 'sweat (gen. sg.)' → h i e + n → koen hintti

b. C V
    p i k e + n 'on the beach' → p i e + n → koen pintti

On the other hand, the third category of diphthongs, those derived by a diphthongisation rule, must be analysed differently. Words containing these diphthongs, such as those in (10), have two alternants in *kontti kielo*, which are in free variation.6

(10) a. /voori/ 'mountain' → vuori → koori vuontti ~ kuori vontti
    b. /tee/ 'read' → tie → koo tientti ~ kie tontti

In one variant the proposed *ko* is lengthened; note that both vowels of the diphthong are transferred. In the other variant neither vowel of the diphthong is exchanged, only the initial C. To account for the facts of the free variation, we make two assumptions. First, the diphthongisation rule cuts the autosegmental association of a mid long vowel with the second V slot and associates the first V slot with a complex segment, whose first component is a raised copy of the second:

(11) Diphthongisation

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{V} \\
\text{V} \\
\text{[−high]} \\
\text{[−low]} \\
\text{[α round]} \\
\text{[+high]} \\
\text{[−low]} \\
\text{[α round]} \\
\text{[+low]} \\
\text{[α round]} \\
\end{array}
\]

The resultant diphthong is short; the two vowels are tied to a single CV-level slot (one-to-many association). Keyser & Kiparsky (1984) represent unstressed (i.e. non-initial) diphthongs in Finnish in the same way.

Additionally, the rule of *kontti kielo*, given in (5), contains a third clause to the effect that the displacement of a branching V slot, one which is associated with a complex segment on the segment level, is optional:

(12) Kontti kielo

c. Branching V moves optionally

The second alternant in (10) is derived by not moving the diphthong, hence the first V of the second word, *kontti*, cannot be exchanged; the initial C, of course, can. We may note that the empty V slot cannot be
reassOCIated with a segment-level unit from which it had been dissociated by a rule. A general convention is assumed to that effect.

The derivation of the alternant forms in (10b) is shown in (13):

\[
\begin{align*}
(13) & \quad CVV \rightarrow CVV \\
& \quad t\text{etic} \\
& \quad \text{tientti}
\end{align*}
\]

If the branching V slot is moved, we obtain long koe as before, by associating the empty second V slot to the preceding vowel. Note that this derivation is possible only under the assumption that the diphthongisation rule leaves the second V slot of the underlying long vowel in place. (The word game rule cannot precede the diphthongisation rule: alternants like kie tontti in (13b) could not be derived.) Now if it turns out that the diphthongisation rule is lexical, in the sense of the Lexical Phonology framework (see for instance Kiparsky 1982), then we have a significant piece of evidence, one that is otherwise difficult to obtain, for letting unassociated CV-level units remain in phonological representations at least through the lexical level, rather than pruning them by convention: the postlexical kontti kielu rule (its input consists of words) must have access to an unassociated V slot. On the other hand, if the diphthongisation rule belongs to the postlexical phonology, or in those dialects where the kontti kielu rule can precede the diphthongisation rule, all bets are off concerning the preceding remarks on the empty V slot.\(^7\)

In sum, the facts of the kontti kielu word game strongly suggest that in Finnish vocalic length should be represented autosegmentsally rather than segmentally, and that the autosegmental association between the CV- and segment-level units is many-to-one in the case of long vowels, one-to-one in the case of long diphthongs, and one-to-many in the case of short diphthongs. These results are in accord with those obtained by language internal analysis; see Keyser & Kiparsky (1984); Prince (1984).

3 Estonian

The conclusions from the Finnish word game receive more weight from the treatment of long vowels and diphthongs in an Estonian word game described by Leisti (1985). To play this game, \(pi\) is inserted after the first V of a word. (We may ignore here the fact that primary stress is shifted from the initial syllable to the inserted syllable.) If the initial syllable contains a long diphthong, then \(pi\) is inserted between the two vocoids.\(^8\) An initial long vowel, however, is not broken up into two elements; rather, the vowel of \(pi\) bears the length and the long vowel is shortened.

The patterning of \(ir\) is exemplified in (14):

(14) a. sada 'hundr
b. laulus 'in th
b. saada 'send

In a linear frame following (ignoring st

(15) \# CVV

That is, if the first vowel of the inserted shortened. The probl
Within CV phonot
the rule refers to segme
initial words, we must
word. But in that case
vowel is long. For no
of many-to-one or of
derived. For example

(16) a. CVV
b. CVV

Let us assume rate
in a word. In that ea
one-to-one associat
leaves the many-to-o
structure obtained by

(17) *CVVV

s a p i
The patterning of initial short vowels, long diphthongs, and long vowels is exemplified in (14). a.

(14) a. sada 'hundred' → sapida
    b. laulus 'in the song' (iness. sg.) → laipuls
    c. saada 'send' (2nd sg. imp.) → sapiida

In a linear framework, the rule of the *Pi game might look like the following (ignoring stress shift):

(15) $\begin{array}{c|ccc|}
\text{C} & \text{V} & \text{(+ long)} \\
\hline
1 & 2 & 3 & p & i \\
\end{array}$

$\langle -\text{long} \rangle \quad \langle +\text{long} \rangle$

That is, if the first vowel of the word is long, then, and only then, the vowel of the inserted syllable is long and the originally long vowel is shortened. The problem with this analysis, as in the case of *kontti kiel, is that though it derives the correct representations, it explains nothing.

Within CV phonology, we have to determine whether the rule of the game applies on the CV level or the segment level. Let us suppose that the rule refers to segment-level units. Then, taking into account diphthong-initial words, we must specify that *Pi is inserted after the first vowel of the word. But in that case a problem arises in accounting for words whose first vowel is long. For no matter whether we represent long vowels in terms of many-to-one or one-to-one association, the correct facts cannot be derived. For example:

(16) a. $\begin{array}{c|ccc|}
\text{C} & \text{V} & \text{V} & \text{V} \\
\hline
s & a & d & a \rightarrow *sapiida \\
\end{array}$

b. $\begin{array}{c|ccc|}
\text{C} & \text{V} & \text{V} & \text{V} \\
\hline
s & a & a & d \rightarrow *sapiida \\
\end{array}$

Let us assume rather that *Pi is inserted following the initial CV sequence in a word. In that case long vowels could not be represented with the one-to-one association, as in (16b): the output would be *sapiida. This leaves the many-to-one representation. Let us take a closer look at the structure obtained by applying the CV-level rule of the *Pi game:

(17) $\begin{array}{c|ccc|}
\text{C} & \text{V} & \text{V} & \text{V} \\
\hline
s & a & p & i & d \\
\end{array}$
The above representation is ill formed: it contains crossing association lines, which are universally prohibited (cf. Goldsmith 1975). To remove the violation, we assume that the long vowel is dissociated from its second V slot; this is the most economical route (i.e., it involves the least number of dissociations) to a well-formed representation. (Also, a dissociated pi would presumably not be realised.) The empty V slot then becomes associated with the preceding vowel by convention, as before. The correct output sapīda is thus obtained:

(18)  CVCVC
      |   |  |
      s a p i d a

One might query why, after the rule of the game has applied, it is representation (17) that is obtained and not (19):

(19)  *CVCVC
      |   |  |
      s p i d a

By way of justification, it can be noted that, after the offending (first) association line of the long vowel is cut, vocalic length is lost and an initial consonant cluster is created, thereby destroying the expected pattern of pi words. (17), therefore, is a preferred intermediate representation to (19).10

Now that we have shown that the rule of the pi game applies at the CV level of representation, it is a trivial matter to demonstrate that diphthongs must have the one-to-one autosegmental representation, not the one-to-many, as in the case of short diphthongs in Finnish. For example:

(20)  a. CVCVC
      |   |  |
      l a u l u s → lapiulus

b. CVCVC
      |   |  |
      l a u l u s → *laupilus

In sum, the pi word game supports the analysis of Estonian long vowels and diphthongs in terms of the many-to-one and one-to-one associations, respectively.

4 Bakwiri

As described by Hombert (1973, 1976), young speakers of Bakwiri on the southern slopes of Mount Cameroon play a word game in which the last syllable of a word is exchanged with the initial one.11 Some examples of bisyllabic words are given in (21):

(21)  a. mzhō ‘viper’ → zhmō
     b. mōkō ‘plantain’ → kōmō

Bakwiri has a le that when a short becomes long, and it becomes short:

(22)  a. ˈpeːzɛ  ‘b’
      b. ˈpeːzɛ  ‘it’

There is a fur considered. As exx exchanged syllable the transposition associated units or assuming that tons to slots on the CV word game does not the word game c movement of a si movement of assoc Bakwiri word gam course, we explain.

It cannot be the the CV level media affect the latter it introducing ad hoc the domain of the

The rule of the:

(23) Exchange ti word

The form in (24) one vowel only:

(24)  lɔbɔ ‘door’

The many-to-or as the following structure units are

(25)  σ
      CVC
      P e
    σ
  C V C
  z e i
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Bakwiri has a length contrast in vowels. The following forms indicate that when a short vowel is moved into the position of a long vowel it becomes long, and, conversely, when a long vowel displaces a short one it becomes short:

(22) a. ŋeɛe 'bone' → ŋeɛɛe
    b. ŋeɛɛ 'it is not' → ŋeɛɛe

There is a further fact of the Bakwiri word game that must be considered. As exemplified by the forms in (22), the tonal patterns of the exchanged syllables do not change. In §2 it was assumed, and justified, that the transposition of a CV-level unit entails the transposition of all associated units on the segmental and auto-segmental melody levels. Now assuming that tonal melodies, just like harmonic melodies, are mapped on to slots on the CV tier, and taking into account the fact that the Bakwiri word game does not change tonal patterns, we arrive at the conclusion that the word game cannot move CV-level units. If we assume that the movement of a segment-level unit does not automatically trigger the movement of associated units on other levels, we may safely claim that the Bakwiri word game is played on the segment level. At the same time, of course, we explain why vocalic length functions independently in the game.

It cannot be the case that the word game moves syllable nodes: since the CV level mediates between the syllable and segment levels, no rule can affect the latter two levels to the exclusion of the CV level (without introducing ad hoc stipulations). Rather, the syllable functions to delimit the domain of the segment-level units to be moved.

The rule of the word game can now be stated informally as follows:

(23) Exchange the segmental units of the initial and final syllable of a word

The form in (24) shows that rule (23) cannot be formulated so as to move one vowel only:

(24) liɔsã 'door' → ɓáɬiɔ

The many-to-one representation of long vowels in Bakwiri is feasible, as the following derivation of (22a) demonstrates (tones and syllable structure units are omitted):

(25)
It might also be possible to analyse Bakwiri long vowels with one-to-one association:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\sigma & \sigma & \sigma \\
CVV & CVV & CVV \\
| & | & | \\
\sigma & \sigma & \sigma \\
\sigma & \sigma & \sigma \\
| & | & | \\
\sigma & \sigma & \sigma \\
\sigma & \sigma & \sigma \\
\|
\end{array}
\]

The output in (26) is obtained by applying rule (23), left-to-right linking by convention, spreading a vowel to a following empty V slot by convention, and leaving a floating vowel unrealised by convention. (Note that the many-to-one representation is required anyway.) However, the patterning of double vowels (one-to-one association) shows (cf. (24)) that Bakwiri must have a rule that, following the word game, incorporates a final floating vowel into the final syllable. The existence of this rule would mitigate against the one-to-one representation of long vowels in Bakwiri, unless the incorporation rule is restricted to apply only to those floating vowels which are distinct from the vowel that precedes. In any event, in the face of the available evidence from many different languages, it should not be controversial to claim that the many-to-one analysis of tautomorphemic long vowels is unmarked, and the one-to-one marked.

In sum, the Bakwiri word game suggests that the many-to-one analysis of long vowels in Bakwiri is likely, although the one-to-one representation cannot be ruled out entirely. The fact that the word game leaves both the length and tone of the displaced vowels unaffected is explained in the CV phonology framework: the CV tier, where length is expressed and to which tonal melodies are attached, is independent of the segmental tier, where the rule of the game is applied. It is obvious that the description of the facts in a linear theoretical model, with explicit statements on length and tone, is not a viable alternative.

5 Conclusion

The word games discussed in this article either permute or affix phonological material. In this concluding section I briefly consider a number of other permitting and affixing word games that exploit the CV-xx segment-level dichotomy of CV phonology, as reflected in suprasegmental aspects of vowels.
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Finnish **kotti**'ieli exemplifies the class of word games in which CV-level units are permuted. Thai and Burmese also have word games of this sort, as seen in the following examples (Haas 1969; as reported by Hombert 1973, 1976):

(27) a. Thai: kōn jān 'big bottom' → kāj jōn
   b. Burmese: mī bōw 'fire place' → mōw bī

In the Thai and Burmese word games successive syllables, exclusive of initial consonants, are interchanged. Since tones are moved as well, we must assume that the games are played on the CV level (or, in a theory that admits hierarchical syllable structure, on the level of the rhyme, affecting CV-level units as well).

The Bakwiri word game rearranges segment-level units and leaves suprasegmental features (length and tone) intact. The same treatment of a suprasegmental feature (stress) is observed in Tagalog word games (Conklin 1959):

(28) a. salámat 'thanks' → tanámals
   b. dito 'here' → dōtī
   c. kapatid 'sibling' → tidpakā
   d. magandá 'beautiful' → damagán

In (28a) the order of the segments is reversed; in (28b) the vowels are interchanged; in (28c) the syllables are reversed; in (28d) the final syllable is prepended. In each case, some segmental material is transposed, but not stress. Segment-level application, in the last two cases also making reference to the syllable as the domain, seems justified. (The long vowels in the first two examples are rule-derived: stressed vowels in non-final syllables are lengthened.) Cf. also a word game in Sanga (Coupez 1969; as reported by Hombert 1976), where the tone patterns are unaltered: nhāümbo 'grandfather' → nhbōonkā. Similarly, in a Luganda word game (Clements to appear) the order of syllables is reversed: e.g. kimuli 'flower' → limuki. As Clements suggests, the game moves segmental units, but not CV units, as evidenced by the fact that length is invariant; cf. mwesse 'moon' → siwee.

The Estonian **pi** game is an example of CV-level affixation; see also the Finnish word game mentioned in note 7. Segment-level affixation is found in a game played by the Hanunoo of the Philippines (Conklin 1959; also discussed in McCarthy 1982). In this game, the initial consonant–vowel–consonant sequence of a word, excluding prefixes, is appended to the prefix ka-y-. For example, *bizat* 'nick' becomes *qaybij*. It is evident that vocalic length is lost. This fact is explained by removing the first three segment-level units of a word (not counting prefixes) and attaching them to ka-y-. In another Hanunoo word game, the initial syllable of a stem is exchanged with the last syllable, excluding codas. Thus the word for 'nick' given above becomes *nabbo*. The length pattern here is consistent with either the CV or the segment-level application of the rule.

In summary, the word games discussed in this paper support the basic
claim of the CV phonology framework that phonological representations are organised into several independent levels which are related to each other by the principles of autosegmental phonology. Within this conception of phonological structure, long vowels are represented ordinarily in terms of two CV-level units being autosegmentally linked to one segment-level unit. Under this analysis the patterning of long vowels in word games is automatically accounted for, once the level status of a rule is determined. In contrast, a linear analysis must mention changes in length explicitly in each case. This simply means that the patterning of length is accidental, rather than predicted.

NOTES

* I am thankful to Nick Clements, Ilia Lehiste, John McCarthy, and the anonymous referees for this journal for comments and suggestions.

[1] For a detailed exposition of word games and their import for nonlinear phonology and morphology, see McCarthy (to appear) and references cited there. Speech errors provide yet another interesting source of external evidence for the nonlinear representation of length; see Stemberger (1984).

[2] The claims raised in the present study are equally compatible with an alternative nonlinear framework in which the units of the central tier are abstract, unspecified timing slots organised into higher-level prosodic categories; cf. Hynan (1984); van der Hulst (1984), among others. Early in the development of nonlinear phonology length was described in terms of metrical structure; see for example Leben (1980); Ingrina (1980); Prince (1980). This position has now been largely abandoned.

[3] The contents of this section were included in an oral presentation entitled 'On the representation of length' at the 5th International Phonology Meeting, Eisenstadt, Austria, June 1982.

[4] In (6) and subsequent derivations the syllable and autosegmental tiers are omitted.

[5] Tone also is mapped on to the CV tier. Thus, in the segment-level Bakwiri word game discussed in §4, segmental units are moved, but not tones.

[6] There exist dialects in which all diphthongs, no matter their source, pattern alike; see Campbell (1981: 178, n. 8). In these dialects the diphthongisation rule is formulated differently. Cf. also Seppänen (1982: 11), who cites /peen/ /pen/ 'ditch' = bon mitti. (I am indebted to Andres Kornai for bringing Seppänen's work to my attention.)

[7] Campbell (1981: 176) refers to a Finnish word game which truncates each word after the first vowel, lengthens it, and adds -or to it. For instance: tule 'come' = tunor. The facts are readily described in the CV phonology framework: following short vowels, -or is assumed to be preceded by an empty V slot which becomes linked to a preceding vowel by convention, thus bringing about a phonetically long vowel.


[9] Lehiste quotes the forms in the pi game phonetically; I use standard orthography instead.

[10] An anonymous referee has suggested that there might be a universal convention which preferentially applies to rightmost links in delinking. Nick Clements has pointed out to me another possibility: the second V slot of long vowels can be unassociated underlyingly and then become linked to the vowel unit of pi following the application of the game.

[11] I am indebted to John O'Hala for bringing the Bakwiri word game to my attention.
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nonological representations which are related to each other. Within this conception, representations are not determined by a fixed and unchanging structure, but are subject to change and variation. This is in line with the idea of phonological accommodation, where the system of a language is flexible and can adapt to new speech patterns.

In the context of word games, we need to consider how these rules apply. For example, in the game of Boggle, the pronunciation of a word is affected by the rules of the game, which might change the pronunciation of certain sounds.

The treatment of long vowels in word games

Speakers are unable to play the game in the case of some double vowels.

[13] Geminate consonants pattern the same way: e.g. žuba 'dove' — bižu. The facts and analysis of geminate consonants are identical in a Bedouin Hjawi Arabic word game (McCarthy 1982), in which the consonants of verbal stems are freely rearranged. For example, the derivational class II stem /kattab/ 'write' undergoes the following permutations: bakkak, tikkah, tinkah, bikkat, khabat. It is readily observed that only the segmental composition of geminate changes, but not their position.
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Ian Maddieson, from the has written an important UCLA Phonological Seq a number of problems c of the book is devoted to and phoneme charts of a (160 pp.). The volume c first being a monograph to be used by other resear claims made in th Maddieson argues co concerning segments and it absolute, and that they respect to, a body of da in analysis as far as pos appropriately selected s: for interpreting their ph The 317 languages in U an intention to include n their own independent years, but to include o which shared a closer h genetic groupings may s languages, which includ example), this does not r chosen.

In cases where a cha within the same genetic available sources was d selecting languages (n otherwise there would l For each language rep in a chart, with phonet below). This phoneme